

NEW REPORT SHOWS FAILURE IN SCHOOL-BASED CSE PROGRAMS

The *Institute of Research & Evaluation* conducted an in-depth review of 60 of the best scientific studies of Comprehensive Sex Education (CSE) in U.S. schools. The results led the reviewers to conclude that *“there is no scientific justification for the broad dissemination of comprehensive sex education in school settings in the U.S.”*

KEY FINDINGS OF THE 2017 CSE REPORT

Outcomes for the 33 peer-reviewed studies of the 18 school-based CSE programs on the U.S. Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program (TPP)

(Analyzed for a 12-month or longer effect)

REDUCING TEEN PREGNANCY: None of the 18 school-based CSE programs showed effectiveness. (One program increased pregnancy rates.)

STD PREVENTION: None demonstrated a reduction in teen STDs. (None measured it.)

INCREASING TEEN ABSTINENCE: None showed effectiveness. (Two programs showed a positive 12-month increase, but these effects were nullified by 10 other studies.)

CONSISTENT CONDOM USE: None showed effectiveness.

CSE'S INTENDED DUAL BENEFIT: 100% failure rate. (Increasing teen abstinence and condom use within the same adolescent population.)

NEGATIVE EFFECTS: Three of the 18 school-based CSE programs produced significant negative effects (i.e., increases in sexual initiation, recent sex, oral sex, or pregnancy).

Abstinence Education Findings

(More replication studies needed)

TEEN ABSTINENCE: Four of the five AE studies (three by independent evaluators) produced a 12-month increase.

TEEN PREGNANCY & STDs: None of the AE studies measured these outcomes, but since four of the five studies produced increased abstinence, if measured, there would likely be strong positive effects.

CONDOM USE: Although AE does not stress condom use, of the three studies that measured impact on condom use, none found a negative effect, and one found a positive effect.



ACCESS THE FULL REPORT AT: [SexEdReport.org](https://www.sexedreport.org)